

Wednesday, 15 November 2006 283-9

Environmental Effects of the Application of Synthetic Urine to Feedlot Surfaces.

William Rice¹, N. Andy Cole¹, and Richard Todd². (1) USDA, ARS, CPRL, P. O. Drawer 10, 2300 Experiment Station Road, Bushland, TX 79012-0010, (2) USDA-ARS, PO Box 107, Bushland, TX 79012

Concentrated livestock feeding operations (CAFO) generate large quantities of manure in small areas. This manure is a potential source of excess nutrients, dust, ammonia, pathogens, odors, and other emissions that can adversely affect the environment and/or animal production. Significant quantities of feed N may be lost to the environment as ammonia, dinitrogen gas, or other compounds. European work indicates that under pasture conditions, cattle urine is the primary source of ammonia losses and feces is the primary source of methane and carbon dioxide losses. Our objective was to determine the microbial response to the application of synthetic urine (urea solution) or water to a beef cattle feedlot surface. Three treatments: 1) no application, 2) water only application, or 3) synthetic urine application were made to two soil-surfaced pens at the Bushland environmental research feedlot. Cattle were removed approximately 2 days before the study to allow any fresh urine to volatilize. The pen had a manure layer similar to a commercial feedyard (1-2 inches of loose manure on top of 1-4 inches of a dry hard pack of manure). The areas were sampled prior to application and again at 24, 48, 72, 96 and 192 hours after application. Culture dependent and independent methods were used to determine the response of selected microbial populations to the various treatments. Nonselective and selective media were employed. Community DNA samples were evaluated using DGGE-PCR methods employing 16S specific rDNA primers. An initial burst in the release of ammonia plus ammonium was observed indicating that a resident population of microorganisms was capable of rapidly metabolizing urea. Data from both culture dependent and independent methods indicated that microbial populations responded significantly to treatment with synthetic urine in a pen specific manner and that this response is different from the water and untreated controls.

Back to Land Use and Management Effects on Soil Biology and Biochemistry Back to S03 Soil Biology & Biochemistry

Back to The ASA-CSSA-SSSA International Annual Meetings (November 12-16, 2006)



ASA-CSSA-SSSA 2006 International Meetings (November 12-16, 2006) Indianapolis, Indiana

ASA-CSSA-SSSA



http://www.asa-cssa-sssa.org







Copyright 2006, ASA-CSSA-SSSA.

For questions, please contact us at: Phone 608-273-8080 Fax 608-273-2021 Email headquarters@agronomy.org

Sponsored by the Mosaic Company.



CD produced for ASA-CSSA-SSSA by The Conference Exchange, www.confex.com

Content of the program and abstracts on this CD was current as of 25 September 2006. The most current program listing can be found online.

file://D:\START.htm 12/18/2006